

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/690,306	WARD ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Matthew J. Smith	3637	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Abandoned

 (1) Matthew J. Smith, examiner.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) David M. Ostfeld, attorney.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 16 April 2007
**Time:** 10:30 E
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

n.a.

Claims discussed:

n.a.

Prior art documents discussed:

n.a.

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner Smith contacted Mr. Ostfeld on 28 March, and 5, 6, & 16 April asking if an Appeal Brief has been filed. Mr. Ostfeld replied a request for continuing examination was filed. Examiner Smith replied, no request found.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)